

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO						
10/091,311	03/04/2002	Diego Kaplan	UTL 00134	8151						
7590 08/03/2006 Kyocera Wirless Corp., Attn: Patent Department PO Box 928289 San Diego, CA 92192-8289			EXAMINER TRUONG, LAN DAI T ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER							
						-			2152	···
									DATE MAILED: 08/03/2006	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/091,311	KAPLAN, DIEGO		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Lan-Dai Thi Truong	2152		

	g		
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on t	he cover sheet with the	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 15 June 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION	ON IN CONDITION FOR A	LLOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the san this application, applicant must timely file one of the following rep places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of A a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 3 time periods:	lies: (1) an amendment, af ppeal (with appeal fee) in	fidavit, or other eviden compliance with 37 CI	ce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of	the final rejection.		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONL TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).	Action, or (2) the date set forth SIX MONTHS from the mailir	ng date of the final rejecti	on.
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension a under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than thromay reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	nd the corresponding amount I statutory period for reply orig	of the fee. The appropri	ate extension fee be action; or (2) as
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance we filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension the a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the AMENDMENTS 	ereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	o avoid dismissal of th	
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior (a) They raise new issues that would require further considerat (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);			ecause
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form appeal; and/or	for appeal by materially re	educing or simplifying t	he issues for
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a correspondent NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).			
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See		ompliant Amendment (PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):			
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable non-allowable claim(s).	•	•	•
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) will in how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided be The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:		ill be entered and an e	xplanation of
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1-18.			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcom showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and we	e <u>all</u> rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fai	ls to provide a
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	status of the claims after e	entry is below or attach	ied.
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does N	NOT place the application i	in condition for allowar	nce because:
12. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SI13. ☐ Other:	3/08 or PTO-1448) Paper	No(s).	
	WILLIAM VAUGHN		
Si	JPERVISORY PATENT EXAM	MINER	
	TECHNOLOGY CENTER 21	100	

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 7-05)

Continuation Sheet (PTO-303)

Application No.

The amended independent claims 1 and 11 would raise new issues the would require further consideration through new search such as: "the selection being made without encoding the SMS message" as disclosed in claim 1; "wherein the optimizing signal is generated without encoding the SMS message" as disclosed in claim 11

Regarding to applicant's agrument with respect to the Moskowitz does not teach determining a memory usage required without encoding the SMS message. The agrument is not persuasive; Moskowitz discloses method for determining required number of bits for each character, see figure 9

WILLIAM VAUGHN

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100